

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/534,204	03/24/2000	Shinji Imai	Q56555	2972
7590 10/16/2003			EXAMINER	
Sughreu Mion	Zinn Macpeak & Seas	LEE, SHUN K		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue n W				
Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/534,204	IMAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shun Lee	2878	

--Th MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examir	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛚 b) 🗀	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have bee 37 CFR 1 (b) above	706.07(f). ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in in, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in B7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🛛 1	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. 🗌 A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the new issues noted above.
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
٦	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,31,34,59,62 and 63</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>32,33,35,36,60 and 61</u> .
8. 🔲 1	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗌 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.⊠ □	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> CONSTANTINE HANNAHER

continuation She t (PTOL-303) 009/534,204

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment attempts in incorporate limitations such as that previously recited in claims 60 and 61 which were withdrawn from consideration in the office action mailed 24 December 2002.

Continuation of 10. Other: the proposed amendment filed on 22 September 2003 effectively canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive (MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because the proposed amended independent claims belong with the nonelected species of stripe electrode.